#### The Spoofer Project

Rob Beverly <rbeverly@mit.edu> MIT CSAIL

March 30, 2005

## Spoofer Project Background

- High-profile spoofing-based DDoS attacks in 2000, 2001
- Does spoofing really matter in 2005?
  - All ISP filter, right?
  - Zombie Farms
  - NAT Rewriting
- But:
  - Reflector attacks
  - Backscatter shows continued spoofing

# Spoofer Project

- http://momo.lcs.mit.edu/spoofer
- Active measurement project
- Clients run our program (binaries, source)
- Availability advertised to e.g. NANOG mailing list, etc

## Spoofer Project

- Send series of spoofed UDP packets to server on campus
  - Five of each with random inter-packet delay
  - Payload includes unique 14 byte identifier
  - If received, packets stored in DB
- Send TCP report of spoofed packets to server
- Send traceroute to server
- Use UDP port 53, TCP port 80 to avoid secondary filtering effects

#### Spoofer Operation



#### Spoofed Packets

<b>Spoofed Source</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.2.3.4	Bogon (Not in BGP table)
6.1.2.3	Valid (In BGP table)
172.16.1.100	Martian (RFC1918 private address)
IP $\oplus$ (2 <sup>N</sup> ) for 31>N>8	Neighbor Spoof

Ele gat yer go goolmaris Tods Window Help The Spoofer Project: State of IP Spoofing The Spoofer Project: State of IP Spoofing is report, provided by MIT ANA, intends to provide a current aggregate view of ingress and egress filtering and "Spoofing" on the Internet. While the data in thi poort is the most comprehensive of its type we have receive the peter - they increase our accuracy and coverage. Test your own system and network onnection and read more about the project Page. This page is regenerated hourly. Nummary: Janua	Metric Spoofable Believed Unspoofable Estimated Global Spoofability Metric Spoofable (% Observed) Spoofable (% Globally Routable)	Netblocks 55 184 38,861 Netblocks 23.0% 0.0%	IP Addresses 3.611,904 49.338,880 108,749,639 IP Addresses 6.8% 0.2%	ASes 36 113 4.351 ASes 24.2% 0.2%
--	---	--	--	-----------------------------------

## Details:

Failed Spoofs:

Failed Spoofs: 228 Blocked by Operating System: 16 Blocked by Windows XP SP2: 82 Hosts unable to Spoof Neighbor's Address (IP + 1): 2 Hosts Behind NATs: 92

# Successful Spoofs:

Legitimate Spoofs: 61

IP Space Coverage (based on routeviews BGP view):

# Frequency of Inconsistent Filtering

<u>RFC1918</u>	<b>Bogon</b>	Valid	<u>Count</u>		
-	_	X	17		
-	X	_	0		
-	X	X	39		
X	_	_	0		
X	_	X	0		
X	X	_	0		

Example: providers that automate filtering by only forwarding packets sourced with valid address (in BGP table)

#### Filtering Granularity



How consistent are inferred filtering boundaries with advertised BGP prefixes?



Node: depth 0.0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0

